

# ▼ Hash Tables

## ▼ Observation: Trees have logarithmic access costs

- Can we do better?

## ▼ Idea: Buckets

- Partition the data according to a simple, predictable, deterministic pattern

## ▼ Summary Idea: Assume an $f(x)$ that gives you a number between 1 and $N$

- e.g., "first letter" or "first  $k$  bits"0
- Allocate  $N$  pages, use  $f(\text{key})$  to figure out which page a record is supposed to live on

## ▼ Pros

- Fast:  $O(1)$  page accesses (ideally)

## ▼ Cons

- Need to pick  $N$  correctly

## ▼ Clustering: Data is generally not uniformly distributed

- Class names: "X", "S" common letters: "W" completely empty

## ▼ Idea: Pick a Deterministic "Reshuffling"

## ▼ Hash Functions: $h(x)$ -> Transform any $x$ into a pseudo-random value

- **Pseudo-Random:** Statistically unpredictable output between 0 and  $2^{\{\# \text{ of hash bits}\}-1}$
- **Deterministic:**  $h(x)$  is always the same

## ▼ Adaptation: Modulus Operator Makes #s between 1 and $N$

## ▼ % = Modulus = Remainder after Division

- $5 \% 2 = 1$
- $5 \% 3 = 2$

- $6 \% 3 = 0$
- $7 \% 3 = 1$
- $8 \% 3 = 2$

▼ If  $h(x)$  gives you a number between 0 and [Some arbitrarily big number]

- $h(x) \% N$  gives you a number between 0 and  $N-1$

▼ As long as  $N \ll$  [Some arbitrarily big number], the result is still “random enough”

- Deviation from uniform random capped at  $N /$  [Some arbitrarily big number]
- Unless [Some arbitrarily big number]  $\% N = 0 \dots$  then randomness perfectly preserved

▼ **Overall Solution:**

- Allocate  $N$  pages
- $h(\text{key}) \% N$  tells you on which page the record with ‘key’ lives
- Use “overflow pages” to handle cases where you need to put too much data in one page.

▼ **Pros**

- Fast:  $O(1)$  page accesses (ideally)
- Data is distributed **more** uniformly

▼ **Cons**

- Only supports == tests
- We still don’t know how to pick  $N \dots$  and what if the “best”  $N$  changes?

▼ **Idea: “Dynamic” Hashing**

▼ **Problem: Changing  $N$  requires re-hashing everything**

- Example:

```
def h(x):
    return x; # Bad, but easy “hashing” fn
```

- Data: 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 11

- ▼ Now:  $N = 5$ 
  - 1 -> 1, 2 -> 2, 5 -> 0, 8 -> 3, 9 -> 4, 11 -> 1
- ▼ Change:  $N$  to 6
  - 1 -> 1, 2 -> 2, 5 -> 5, 8 -> 2, 9 -> 3, 11 -> 5
- ▼ **Observation: Jumping between multiples of  $N$  make reshuffling easier**
  - If  $h(x) \% 5 = 4$
  - Then  $h(x) \% 10 = \text{Either } 4 \text{ or } 9$
- ▼ **Decide how to split on a bit-by-bit basis:**
  - Use 1 bit (2 pages), 2 bits (4 pages), 3 bits (8 pages), etc...
  - But make the decision on a page-by-page basis
  - Use an “index” that tracks which pages correspond to which hash buckets
- ▼ **If you need to split a page**
  - ▼ Check to see if you need to double the number of hash buckets
    - If so, clone the index: Buckets  $N$  to  $2N-1$  start off pointing to the same pages as Buckets 1 to  $N-1$
    - Allocate a new page
  - ▼ Re-hash the contents of the page, using one more bit than before.
    - Records that have a 1 for the extra bit go to the new page, records with a 0 stay in place
    - Point the appropriate index entry(ies) at the new page
  - The same happens in reverse to merge two pages together
- ▼ **To pull this off, you need to track...**
  - The number of buckets in the index
  - Which pages have been allocated
  - For each allocated page, how many bits of hash are being used for records on that page.