Select	$\sigma_c(R)$	c: The selection condition
Extended Project	$\pi_{e_1,e_2,\ldots}(R)$	$e_i$ : The column or expression to project
Product	$R_1 \times R_2$	
Join	$R_1 \bowtie_c R_2$	c: the join condition
Distinct	$\delta(R)$	
Aggregate	$\gamma_{gb_1,gb_2,\ldots,\mathrm{AGG}(e_1),\ldots}(R)$	$gb_i$ : group by columns, $e_i$ : expression
Set Difference	$R_1 - R_2$	
Union	$R_1 \cup R_2$	
Sort	$ au_A$	A one or more attributes to sort on

### Extended Relational Algebra Operator Reference

### Relational Algebra Equivalences

Rule	Notes	
$\overline{\sigma_{C_1 \wedge C_2}(R)} \equiv \sigma_{C_1}(\sigma_{C_2}(R))$		
$\sigma_{C_1 \vee C_2}(R) \equiv \sigma_{C_1}(R) \cup \sigma_{C_2}(R)$	Note, this is only true for set, not bag union	
$\sigma_C(R \times S) \equiv R \bowtie_C S$		
$\sigma_C(R \times S) \equiv \sigma_C(R) \times S$	If $C$ references only $R$ 's attributes, also works for joins	
$\pi_A(\pi_{A\cup B}(R)) \equiv \pi_A(R)$		
$\sigma_C(\pi_A(R)) \equiv \pi_A(\sigma_C(R))$	If $A$ contains all of the attributes referenced by $C$	
$\pi_{A\cup B}(R \times S) \equiv \pi_A(R) \times \pi_B(S)$	Where $A$ (resp., $B$ ) contains attributes in $R$ (resp., $S$ )	
$R \times (S \times T) \equiv (R \times S) \times T$	Also works for joins	
$R \times S \equiv S \times R$	Also works for joins	
$R \cup (S \cup T) \equiv (R \cup S) \cup T$	Also works for intersection and bag-union	
$R\cup S\equiv S\cup R$	Also works for intersections and bag-union	
$\sigma_C(R \cup S) \equiv \sigma_C(R) \cup \sigma_C(S)$	Also works for intersections and bag-union	
$\pi_A(R \cup S) \equiv \pi_A(R) \cup \pi_A(S)$	Also works for intersections and bag-union	
$\sigma_C(\gamma_{A,AGG}(R)) \equiv \gamma_{A,AGG}(\sigma_C(R))$	If $A$ contains all of the attributes referenced by $C$	

### Cardinality Estimation

Operator	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}$	Estimated Size
Table	R	R
Projection	$\pi(Q)$	Q
Union	$Q_1 \uplus Q_2$	$ Q_1  +  Q_2 $
Cross Product	$Q_1 \times Q_2$	$ Q_1   imes  Q_2 $
Sort	au(Q)	Q
Limit	$\operatorname{LIMIT}_N(Q)$	N
Selection	$\sigma_c(Q)$	$ Q  imes \mathtt{SEL}(c,Q)$
Join	$Q_1 \bowtie_c Q_2$	$ Q_1   imes  Q_2   imes \mathtt{SEL}(c, Q_1  imes Q_2)$
Distinct	$\delta_A(Q)$	$\mathtt{UNIQ}(A,Q)$
Aggregate	$\gamma_{A,B\leftarrow\Sigma}(Q)$	$\mathtt{UNIQ}(A,Q)$

Algorithm		IOs Added (pages)	Memory (tuples)	
Table Scan		$\frac{ R }{\mathcal{P}}$	O(1)	
B+Tree Index S	$\operatorname{can}$	$\log_{\mathcal{I}}( R ) + \frac{ \sigma_c(R) }{\mathcal{P}}$	O(1)	
Hash Index S	$\operatorname{can}$	1	O(1)	
Project	ion	0	O(1)	
Select	ion	0	O(1)	
Un	ion	0	O(1)	
In-Mem S	Sort	0	O( R )	
2-Pass S	Sort	$\frac{2 \cdot \lfloor log_{\mathcal{B}}( R ) \rfloor}{\mathcal{P}}$	$O(\mathcal{B})$	
In-Mem N	ILJ	0	O( S )	
On-Disk N	ЛГÌ	$(1+ R )\cdot \frac{ S }{\mathcal{P}}$	O(1)	
Block-N	ЛГЛ	$\frac{(1+ R )}{\mathcal{B}}\cdot \frac{ S }{\mathcal{P}}$	O(1)	
1-Pass Hash J	loin	0	O( S )	
2-Pass Hash Join		$\frac{2 R +2 S }{\mathcal{P}}$	O(1)	
Sort-Merge Join		[As Sort]	O(Sort)	
B+Tree IN	ЛЦЈ	$ R  \cdot (\log_{\mathcal{I}}( S ) + \frac{ \sigma_c(S) }{\mathcal{P}})$	O(1)	
Hash Index N	ILJ	$ R  \cdot 1$	O(1)	
Non-Grouped Aggreg	gate	0	O(1)	
In-Mem Group	-By	0	adom(A)	
Sort Group	-By	[As Sort]	O(Sort)	
Symbol	${\bf Symbol} \ \big  \ {\bf Meaning}$			
$\mathcal{P}$	Tuples per Page			
R	Size of $R$			
${\mathcal B}$	3   Pages of Buffer			
${\mathcal I}$	$\mathcal{I} \mid$ Keys per Index Page			
adom(A)	adom(A)   Number of distinct values of attribute A			

Algorithm IO / Memory Costs

## Part A: ARIES

(15 points)

This question uses the following query log, disk state and checkpoint at the time of a database crash. For each question, state your assumptions and justify your answer.

					DISK	state	
	T.	20		Object	Value	Upd	latedLSN
		og		Α	6		45
LSN	Transaction	PrevLSN	Operation	В	3		29
				$\mathbf{C}$	6		52
43	T1	31	$A:5 \rightarrow 10$	D	7		36
44	T2		$B: 3 \rightarrow 7$	(Earliest dir	ty page 1	nodifie	d by LSN 44
45	T4		$A:10 \rightarrow 6$	, a		~ <b>T</b> A	<b>N</b> T 10
46	n/a	n/a	CHECKPOINT	Che	ckpoint	@ LS	N 46
47	T2	44	$A: 6 \rightarrow 7$	Transacti	$\mathbf{on} \mid \mathbf{St}$	atus	PrevLSN
48	T3		COMMIT	T1	Ru	nning	43
49	T1	43	$C: 3 \rightarrow 2$	T2	Ru	nning	44
50	T1	49	COMMIT	T3	Ru	nning	
51	n/a	n/a	CHECKPOINT	T4	Ru	nning	45
52	T5		$C: 2 \to 6$	(Earliest dir	ty page i	nodifie	d by LSN 44)
53	T2	47	$B:7 \to 4$	Cha	alen aint	@ τ c	NT E1
54	T4	45	$D:7 \rightarrow 12$		скроінт	@ L5	<b>10 N 51</b>
	CI			Transacti	$\mathbf{on} \mid \mathbf{St}$	atus	PrevLSN
	01			T2	Ru	nning	47
				T4	Ru	nning	45

**Question 1.** (i) Which log entries are read by the Analyze phase of ARIES. (ii) What is the final state of all in-memory data structures modified during the Analyze phase? (5 points).

Entries 51 to 54 are read during this phase. The final state of the Transaction Table is:

Transaction	Status	PrevLSN
T2	Running	53
T4	Running	54
T5	Running	52

No other data structures are affected

- (2 points) Entries 51 to 54 are read during this phase. Entries 52, 53, and 54 are also acceptable and got the full credit. Including entries before 51 shows a lack of understanding the Analyze phase, and received no points.
- (3 points) Providing he final state of the Transaction Table. Including other transactions received -1 point penalty. Including the transaction table for log lines 46 to 51 also received -1 point penalty.

**Question 2.** (i) Which log entries are read by the Redo phase of ARIES. (ii) What is the final state of the 4 database objects at the end of this phase? (5 points).

Full credit: Log entries starting with LSN 44 are read by the Redo phase.

Answers that incorporate either of the following factors are also correct: (1) Log entries 46, 48, 50, 51 have no effect on the Redo Phase, as they only affect the transaction table; (2) Log entries 49 and 52 may be skipped, because the UpdatedLSN of Object C is 52. The final state of the database objects is:

 $A = 7 \qquad B = 4 \qquad C = 6 \qquad D = 12$ 

- (3 points) Entries starting with LSN 44 are read by the REDO phase. Answers that incorporate either of the following factors are also correct: (1) Log entries 46, 48, 50, 51 have no effect on the Redo Phase, as they only affect the transaction table; (2) Log entries 49 and 52 may be skipped, because of UpdatedLSN of Object C is 52. Including log entries that comes before LSN 44 received a -2 point penalty. Not including LSN 44, but giving log entries staring from LSN 45 also received a -2 point penalty.
- (2 points) Providing the final state of the database objects. 2 correct states equal to 1 point. Having one wrong state results in a -1 point penalty.

**Question 3.** (i) Which log entries are read by the Undo phase of ARIES. (ii) Which log entries are created during this phase. (iii) What is the final state of the 4 database objects at the end of this phase? (5 points).

CLR. LSN	Transaction	PrevLSN	Operation
55	T4	45	CLR: $D:7$
56	T2	47	CLR: $B:7$
57	T5		CLR: $C:2$
58	T2	44	CLR: $A:6$
59	T4		CLR: $A : 10$
60	T2		CLR: <i>B</i> : 3

In order, log entries 54, 53, 52, 47, 45, and 44 are reversed. Each entry creates a corresponding CLR.

The resulting database state is

 $A = 10 \qquad B = 3 \qquad C = 2 \qquad D = 7$ 

- (2 points) In order, log entries 54, 53, 52, 47, 45, and 44 are reversed. Each entry creates a corresponding CLR. Since missing log entries directly affect the output, not including one of these entries result in -2 point penalty. Extra entries, if they affect the output of the Undo phase, result in -2 point penalty. No penalty for giving these log entries in a different order.
- (2 points) Providing the relevant log entries. Including extra log lines such as begin and end transactions are OK as long as the log lines given above appear in the correct order. Missing/Wrong PrevLSN from the logs results in -1 point penalty. Wrong order of the logs such as grouping by transaction results in -2 point penalty.

• (1 point) Providing the final state of the database objects. More than 2 (inclusive) wrong DB objects result in -1 point penalty.

# Part B: TRANSACTIONS (30 points)

For each of the following schedules indicate by circling which of the following statements is true.

- 1. The schedule is Conflict Serializable
- 2. The schedule is View Serializable
- 3. The schedule could have been created under 2-Phase Locking *without* Deadlock Detection/Avoidance schemes.
- 4. The schedule could have been created under Normal Timestamp Concurrency Control without triggering an abort.
- 5. The schedule could have been created under Multi-Version Timestamp Concurrency Control without triggering an abort.

Where relevant, assume that the timestamp of the transaction is the transaction number (e.g., T1 is assigned to timestamp 1).

### Question 1. (10 points).

$\mathbf{T1}$	T2	<b>T3</b>
R(A)		
	W(B)	
_ (_ )		W(B)
R(B)		
W(B)		
	W(C)	111(0)
00100		W(C)
COMMIT	COMME	
	COMMIT	COMME
		COMMIT

Conflict	True
View	True
$2\mathrm{PL}$	False
TSCC	False
MVTSCC	True

(circle one in each row)

### Question 2. (10 points).

<b>T1</b>	$\mathbf{T2}$	T3
R(A)		
		W(B)
	W(B)	
R(B)		
W(B)		
	W(C)	
CONDUT		W(C)
COMMIT	COMMT	
	COMMIT	COMMT
		COMMIT

Conflict	False
View	False
$2\mathrm{PL}$	False
TSCC	False
MVTSCC	True
(circle one ii	n each row)

Question 3. (10 points).

T1	T2	<b>T</b> 3		
R(A)		$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{O})$	Conflic	t True
	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D})$	W(C)	View	True
$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{B})$	$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{D})$		$2 \mathrm{PL}$	True
$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{D})$	COMMIT		TSCC	False
W(B)	000000000		MVTS	CC False
COMMIT			(circle	one in each row)
0.01.11.111		COMMIT		

# **Part C**: MATERIALIZED VIEWS (20 points)

The following questions are based on the following three tables:

```
CREATE TABLE CUSTOMERS(cust_id int, name varchar, nation_id int);
CREATE TABLE ORDERS(ord_id int, order_date data, cust_id int);
CREATE TABLE LINEITEM(ord_id int, line_num int, part_id int);
```

Each question indicates a table and a query. Assume that the query is materialized in table named DATA and that a set of new records to be inserted into the indicated table are available in the table [tablename]\_DELTA (e.g., CUSTOMERS\_DELTA).

Write a SQL or Relational Algebra query that *efficiently* computes the new value of **VIEW** after rows are inserted into the indicated table (the delta query).

Question 1. Compute the delta of the following query with respect to rows inserted into CUSTOMERS CREATE VIEW DATA AS (

```
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM 1
WHERE LINEITEM.part_id = 1 ); (5 points).
```

Unless tables on both sides of a join are being modified simultaneously, the delta of a simple conjunctive query only requires replacing the leaf being modified SELECT \* FROM CUSTOMERS\_DELTA c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM 1 WHERE LINEITEM.part\_id = 1 UNION ALL SELECT \* FROM DATA; Grading was as follows:

- -1 if not efficient, should union the delta instead of joining the delta table
- -1 to -3 for missing or wrong tables or query operators

Question 2. Compute the delta of the following query with respect to rows inserted into LINEITEM CREATE VIEW DATA AS (

```
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM 1
WHERE LINEITEM.part_id = 1 ); (5 points).
```

```
As before, only one leaf is modified SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM_DELTA
WHERE LINEITEM.part_id = 1
UNION ALL SELECT * FROM DATA;
```

Grading was as follows:

- -1 if not efficient, should union the delta instead of joining the delta table
- -1 to -3 for missing or wrong tables or query operators

Question 3. Compute the delta of the following query with respect to rows inserted into CUSTOMERS CREATE VIEW DATA AS (

```
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS c1 JOIN CUSTOMERS c2 ON nation_id ); (5 points).
Distributivity comes in to play with a self-join
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS_DELTA c1 JOIN CUSTOMERS c2 ON nation_id
UNION ALL
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS c1 JOIN CUSTOMERS_DELTA c2 ON nation_id
UNION ALL
SELECT * FROM CUSTOMERS_DELTA c1 JOIN CUSTOMERS_DELTA c2 ON nation_id
Grading was as follows:
```

- -1 to -3 for missing distributed operators
- -5 if they didn't use any distributivity

 ${\bf Question}$  4. Compute the delta of the following query with respect to rows inserted into ORDERS CREATE VIEW DATA AS (

```
SELECT COUNT(*) AS cnt FROM CUSTOMERS c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM 1
WHERE LINEITEM.part_id = 1 ); (5 points).
```

As before... though some trickery is needed to merge the aggregate values. Other answers are also possible.

```
SELECT SUM(cnt) AS cnt FROM (
SELECT COUNT(*) AS cnt FROM CUSTOMERS c NATURAL JOIN ORDERS_DELTA o NATURAL JOIN LINEITEM 1
```

```
WHERE LINEITEM.part_id = 1
```

```
UNION ALL SELECT cnt FROM DATA );
```

Grading was as follows:

• -1 if agg was computed at once instead of using the materialized view and addition (or union)

# **Part D**: RELATIONAL ALGEBRA (15 points)

For each of the following pairs of relational algebra expressions, either prove that they are equivalent by showing a chain of relational algebraic equivalencies, or show a counterexample table where they are not equivalent. State all of your assumptions.

#### Question 1. (5 points).

 $\pi_{R.A}(\sigma_{R.B=S.B\land S.C=T.C\land T.D=3}(R\times S\times T)) \stackrel{?}{=} \pi_{R.A}(R\bowtie_{R.B=S.B}(\pi_{S.B}(S\bowtie_{S.C=T.C}\sigma_{T.D=3}(T))))$ 

	$\pi_{R.A}(\sigma_{R.B=S.B\land S.C=T.C\land T.D=3}(R\times S\times T))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(\sigma_{R.B=S.B\land S.C=T.C\land T.D=3}(R\times(S\times T)))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(R \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (\sigma_{S.C=T.C \land T.D=3}(S \times T)))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(R \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (S \bowtie_{S.C=T.C} \sigma_{T.D=3}(T)))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(\pi_{R.A,R.B,S.B}(R \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (S \bowtie_{S.C=T.C} \sigma_{T.D=3}(T))))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(\pi_{R.A,R.B}(R) \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (\pi_{S.B}(S \bowtie_{S.C=T.C} \sigma_{T.D=3}(T))))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(\pi_{R.A,R.B}(R) \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (\pi_{S.B}(\pi_{S.B}(S \bowtie_{S.C=T.C} \sigma_{T.D=3}(T)))))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(\pi_{R.A,R.B,S.B}(R\bowtie_{R.B=S.B}(\pi_{S.B}(S\bowtie_{S.C=T.C}\sigma_{T.D=3}(T)))))$
=	$\pi_{R.A}(R \bowtie_{R.B=S.B} (\pi_{S.B}(S \bowtie_{S.C=T.C} \sigma_{T.D=3}(T))))$

Not every step needed to be given, points were awarded as follows:

- 2 points for converting cross-products to joins (Equations ?? and ??)
- 1 point for splitting up conjuctions in  $\sigma$  (Equation ??)
- 1 point for filter push down (Equation ??)
- 1 point for mentioning projection duplication (Equation ??). Just mentioning the rule was sufficient for full credit.

#### Question 2. (5 points).

$$(R \cup R') \not \models \not \models (R \cup S') \stackrel{!}{\equiv} (R \times S) \cup (R \times S') \cup (R' \times S) \cup (R' \times S')$$

$$(R \cup R') \times (S \cup S')$$

$$= (R \times (S \cup S')) \cup (R' \times (S \cup S'))$$

$$= (R \times S) \cup (R \times S') \cup (R \times S) \cup (R \times S')$$

• 5 points for any answer that mentioned or showed the distributive law.

• -2 points for not changing the  $\bowtie_{R.A=S.A}$  to a × (errata discussed and posted during the exam)

### Question 3. (5 points).

 $\sigma_{TOT>20}(\gamma_{R.A,TOT\leftarrow SUM(R.B)}(R)) \stackrel{?}{=} \gamma_{R.A,TOT\leftarrow SUM(R.B)}(\sigma_{R.B>20}(R))$ 

 $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 16 \\ \text{The left-hand-side expression produces a single tuple: } \langle A:1,TOT:31\rangle, \text{ while the right hand side produces no results.} \end{vmatrix}$ 

• 5 points for a counterexample

#### Part E: POTPOURI (20 points)

Answer each of the following questions true or false.

In a typical database buffer manager, a page is pinned while a transaction is using it. True

A typical database buffer manager is a specialized form of an operating system's virtual memory manager. True

A bloom filter requires a *linear* amount of space in the number of entries in the filter. True

A lower bound on the amount of data that must be transmitted during a semi-join is the number of distinct values of the join attribute in either of the two tables being joined. True

For any join of the form  $R \bowtie_{R.A \ \theta \ S.B} S$  where  $\theta$  is one of  $\langle , \rangle, \geq \langle , \rangle$  or =, and where there are N range-based partitions of R on R.A and M range-based partitions of S on S.B, every single pair of partitions is capable of producing join results. False

Roughly half of the partition pairs will not produce any results for  $\theta \neq = =$ , and roughly O(M + N) will produce results for an equijoin.

A bloom filter can tell you if an element is definitely *not* in a set, but it can not tell you that an element is definitely in a set. True

The number of pages required by a *dynamic* hash table is always at least half of the number of entries in its directory table. False

A dynamic hash table does not require this. A dynamic hash table behaves like at tree, splitting hash buckets whenever one fills up. In the extreme case, all values match on the *d* least significant bits, requiring requiring that that this one bucket be split *d* times. This results in a directory of size  $2^d$  (since the directory requires one entry for each potential bucket that could exist for that many splits), but the number of pages consumed will only be 2d or  $O(\log_2(2^d))$ 

Under two phase commit, each transaction can have its own coordinator. True

Under two phase commit, once a participating node sends a COMMIT message to the coordinator, it can not allow a different, conflicting transaction to commit.

A cycle in the waits for graph indicates a deadlock. True